

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#1200 11-18-84

In re application of:

) Date: October 16, 1996

M. Anthony Stone et al

) Docket No.: WJ-0021

Serial No.: 08/327,744

) Examiner: Charles Goodman

Filed: October 24, 1994

) Art Unit: 3204

Title: HONEYCOMB REMOVAL

) I hereby certify that this or being deposited with the Unit Regrice as first class mail

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, DC 20231, on or before

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, DC

aus malatists

<del>U</del> (

Debe

## <u>AMENDMENT</u>

In response to the Final Rejection dated June 10, 1996, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

In The Specification

Page 5, line 3, after the term "peaks" change the term "36" to --36A--.

## **REMARKS**

The Examiner has objected to the pending application pursuant to 37 CFR Section 1.84(p), on the grounds that the specification does not include certain reference signs shown on the drawings. Further the Examiner has objected to the application on the grounds that the specification as originally filed does not support the invention as presently claimed, under 35 USC 112 first paragraph. Also, claims 1-8 have been finally rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as having no support in the specification for the pending claims. The Examiner further rejects the claims as being obvious under 35 USC 103 in view of the reference to McComas and Peters et al. Applicants disagree with the conclusion drawn by the Examiner for the following reasons.

The amendment made to the specification as set forth above regarding the introduction of the reference sign to page 5 should make the rejection under 37 CFR 1.86(p) moot.

expert of the of